Report to the Cabinet Member for Highways and Transport Report submitted by: Interim Executive Director for Environment

Date: 11 November 2013

Part I

Electoral Division affected: Morecambe South

Proposed amendment to the 'No Waiting At Any Time' restriction on Northgate which forms part of the recently approved scheme of waiting restrictions affecting Middlegate, Eastgate and Northgate, Heaton-with-Oxcliffe, Lancaster (Appendix 'A' and 'B' refer)

Contact for further information: Bruce Bensley, 01524 772060, Environment Directorate bruce.bensley@lancashire.gov.uk

Executive Summary

Approval is sought to correct a minor referencing error in the schedule of a proposed Traffic Regulation Order introducing No Waiting At Any Time on the north side of Northgate.

A copy of the previously approved report is attached at Appendix 'A'.

Recommendation

The Cabinet Member for Highways and Transport is asked to give approval to seal the above mentioned Traffic Regulation Order with an amendment to correct the starting point of a short section of double yellow lines on the north side of Northgate.

Background and Advice

The Cabinet Member for Highways and Transport, Economic Development and Planning approved the scheme to introduce Waiting Restrictions on the White Lund Industrial Estate, Heaton-with-Oxcliffe, Lancaster on 22 November 2012. Works to provide the lining and signing were subsequently carried-out on site in accordance with the intended scheme drawing and as shown on the plan attached at Appendix 'B'. However, prior to sealing the order, it was noticed that a centre-line referencing error, equivalent to half the carriageway width of the Lancaster Morecambe Bypass, had been made in the schedule affecting the westernmost section of 'No Waiting at Any Time' on the north side of Northgate.

Rectification of this error is therefore required to allow the Traffic Regulation Order to be effectively enforced.



Consultations

The County Council's Legal Services have advised that further consultations relating to this minor amendment are not necessary as it is not considered that it will make a substantial change to the advertised order.

Implications:

This item has the following implications, as indicated:

Financial

There are no further costs associated with the proposed amendment.

Risk management

By not implementing the amended proposal, as detailed in the report, the adjoining access will be left unprotected and this may have possible road safety implications.

List of Background Papers

Paper	Date	Contact/Directorate/Tel
Nil.		
Reason for inclusion in Part II, if appropriate		
N/A.		