### **Audit, Risk and Governance Committee**

Meeting to be held on Monday, 25 January 2021

Electoral Division affected: None;

# **Code of Conduct - Summary of Complaints**

Appendix A refers

Contact for further information:

Josh Mynott, Tel: (01772) 534580, Democratic and Member Services Manager, josh.mynott@lancashire.gov.uk

## **Executive Summary**

This report presents a summary of all complaints received in 2020 against county councillors under the Code of Conduct.

#### Recommendation

The Audit, Risk and Governance Committee is asked to note the summary and comment as appropriate.

# **Background and Advice**

Under the Localism Act 2011, the county council is required to have a Code of Conduct for Councillors ("the Code"). The Code has three elements:

- Behavioural expectations (principally aligned with the Nolan principles)
- Requirements around registering and declaring interests
- Requirements around Gifts and Hospitality

Complaints that a councillor has breached the rules around the registration and declaration of pecuniary (i.e. financial) interests are a criminal matter and complaints would be dealt with by the police. The county council is not aware of any allegations made to the police against Lancashire County Councillors in this regard.

All other complaints that a councillor has breached the Code are dealt with according to local arrangements, agreed by Full Council in 2012. There is a three stage process:

1. An initial assessment by the Monitoring Officer. The Monitoring Officer determines whether the complaint is within the remit of the Code and not vexatious. If the Monitoring Officer identifies that a complaint is legitimate, informal resolution will be explored, such as an apology or explanation that will satisfy the complainant without unnecessary use of resources. At this stage, a written response is sent to the complainant to advise them whether a



complaint is dismissed as it is outside the Code, or not a breach of it, or if it is upheld and will be resolved informally,. There is no right of appeal against the Monitoring Officer's decision at this stage.

- 2. Investigation. Where the Monitoring Officer is unable to resolve a complaint informally, a full investigation will be undertaken, including interviews and examination of evidence. The Monitoring Officer will either determine that there has been no breach of the Code, in which case the matter is at an end, or that there has been a breach, in which case it will be referred to the Conduct Committee for consideration.
- 3. Conduct Committee consideration. The committee will receive the report of the Monitoring Officer and determine what action to take. The councillor who is subject to the complaint will have the right to attend and make representations. The committee must take the views of the appointed "Independent Person" into account before reaching a decision.

The emphasis, in line with the government's initial intention in revising the Standards arrangements in the Localism Act 2011, is to reduce bureaucracy and seek informal resolutions where possible. This avoids lengthy and potentially resource intensive investigations into minor or vexatious complaints.

# **Independent Persons**

Local authorities must also appoint an "independent person" whose views must be sought by the local authority before a decision is taken in relation to an allegation of misconduct. Members who have had allegations made against them may, if they wish, also seek the views of the independent person. Lancashire has appointed three independent persons, to ensure that there can be appropriate separation between the roles of supporting the subject member and advising the committee, should it be necessary to do so.

## **Complaints 2020**

In general, Lancashire continues to receive relatively few complaints about county councillors. A full summary of complaints received in 2020 is attached at Appendix A.

## Key messages:

- Around 6 complaints were received on the same issue. This is still awaiting a
  final resolution. In all other cases, the Monitoring officer found no breach or
  that the complaint was not within the remit of the code.
- As in previous years, it remains the case that a large proportion of complaints come from people actively engaged in local politics. This is something to be expected, as these will be the people who are actively interested and engage with our county councillors. All complaints are considered on their merits, and the source of a complaint is not a factor.
- It is difficult to assess the impact of the pandemic on the level of complaints. A number of the complaints received relate to issues that have arisen from the

pandemic, but it does not appear to have had a generally significant impact on the number of type of complaints. What is clear is that the pandemic has impacted on the speed of response to complaints. Officers involved in the handling of complaints have also been heavily involved in the pandemic response. Dealing with Code of Conduct complaints is a matter which is taken seriously. However, given other, often urgent, Covid-related pressures on resources, it is considered that handling such complaints is not particularly time sensitive. Complainants have been kept informed.

The Audit, Risk and Governance Committee are invited to note the report and make any comments or observations about the complaints received or processes around

any comments or observations about the complaints received or processes around managing complaints.		
Consultations		
N/A		
Implications:		
This item has the following implications, as indicated:		
Risk management		
The county council is required to have a Code of Conduct for councillors under the Localism Act 2011.		
Local Government (Access to Information) Act 1985 List of Background Papers		
Paper	Date	Contact/Tel
None		
Reason for inclusion in Part II, if appropriate		
N/A		