

Officer Decision
Taken under the Scheme of Delegation

This decision has been taken in accordance with the county council's Scheme of Delegation to Officers, as set out in section 9 of the [Constitution](#).

| | |
|---|---|
| Decision taken on behalf of the: | Director of Highways and Transport |
| Officer taking the decision: | Peter Bell, Regulation and Enforcement Manager, Tel: 01772 536818, Email: peter.bell@lancashire.gov.uk |
| Service Area: | Highways and Transport |

Key Details

| | |
|---|--|
| Lancashire County Council (King Street Clayton-le-Moors Hyndburn Borough) (One Way) Order 202* | |
| Decision Date: | 23/5/2024 |
| Part I or Part II: | Part I |
| Reason for Part II: (if applicable) | N/A |
| Divisions Affected: | Great Harwood, Rishton & Clayton-le-Moors; |

The Decision

| Background | | |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| <p>The original request for the One Way working on King Street was being pursued as part of a s278 Agreement under the Highways Act 1980 as part of the erection of a housing development on the west side of Whalley Road which will introduce further pedestrian and vehicular movements at the junction of the development and King Street.</p> <p>The purpose of the proposal was to prevent vehicle movements turning out of King Street onto Whalley Road to remove conflict with pedestrians using the zebra crossing at this junction. This measure would also impact on the loading requirements for the adjacent businesses on Whalley Road.</p> <p>During the consultation period a number of objections have been received. Having considered the objections, officers are now proposing to withdraw the proposal as the zebra crossing is also to be relocated away from this junction so there is no need to pursue the one-way order on King Street.</p> <p>This was originally down for 6th June cabinet however, democratic services have confirmed that we would not normally ask cabinet to take a negative decision unless there are some other specific implications. As this proposal has no other implications, it is permitted to be approved under Scheme of Delegation.</p> | | |
| | Yes | No |
| Is this a decision made under a specific delegation from Full Council, Cabinet or another committee? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |



| | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| If so, does this discharge the delegation in full? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Did the delegation require you to consult with a Cabinet Member(s) or specific officer(s) prior to taking the decision? | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| If so, specify the names of the Cabinet Member(s)/officer(s) you have consulted with: | | |
| County Councillor Rupert Swarbrick – Cabinet Member for Highways and Transport | | |
| The Decision | | |
| Peter Bell, Regulations and Enforcement Manager, took the decision to approve the withdrawal of the proposal for a one-way order on King Street following objections received during the consultation period. | | |
| Were any other options considered and, if so, what were they and why were they discounted? | | |
| N/A | | |
| Background Papers | | |
| Notice of Proposal, Plan | | |

Declaration

| | Yes | N/A |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| In taking this decision, I confirm that I have taken into consideration and kept a record of all relevant advice from the following services: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Where appropriate, consultation with the relevant Cabinet Member(s) has been completed. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Where appropriate and where the decision impacts on a particular locality, the relevant councillor(s) for the electoral division(s) have been consulted. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Decision taken on behalf of the: | Director of Highways and Transport | |
| Officer taking the decision: | Peter Bell | |

