

Officer Decision
Taken under the Scheme of Delegation

This decision has been taken in accordance with the county council's Scheme of Delegation to Officers, as set out in section 9 of the [Constitution](#).

Decision taken on behalf of the:	Director of Highways and Transport
Officer taking the decision:	Peter Bell, Regulation and Enforcement Manager, Tel: 01772 536818, Email: peter.bell@lancashire.gov.uk
Service Area:	Highways and Transport

Key Details

Lancashire County Council (Harry Potts Way, Burnley, Burnley Borough) (Road Hump and Pedestrian Crossing)	
Legal Reference: LSG4/894.19452/AFR	
Decision Date:	16/10/2024
Part I or Part II:	Part I
Reason for Part II: (if applicable)	n/a
Divisions Affected:	Burnley Central East;

The Decision

Background

The purpose of this proposal is to assist in the safe movement of pedestrians on this section of road outside Turf Moor in line with the design and objectives of the wider Town 2 Turf scheme in this area.

The raised nature of the crossing also assists in the reduction of the speed of motor vehicles along this section, improving safety for pedestrians.

This proposal seeks to preserve and improve the amenities in this area and improve road safety for all road users, including vulnerable road users and pedestrians.

Consultations

Formal consultation was carried out between 6 September-2024 and 4 October-2024 which included frontagers to the proposed location of the Bus Stop being notified and notices being displayed on site. Divisional county councillors were consulted along with the council's usual consultees and the consultation documents posted on the council's website.

Following formal consultation No Objections were received.



	Yes	No
Is this a decision made under a specific delegation from Full Council, Cabinet or another committee?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
If so, does this discharge the delegation in full?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Did the delegation require you to consult with a Cabinet Member(s) or specific officer(s) prior to taking the decision?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
If so, specify the names of the Cabinet Member(s)/officer(s) you have consulted with:		
County Councillor Rupert Swarbrick – Cabinet Member for Highways and Transport		
The Decision		
Peter Bell, Regulation and Enforcement Manager, on behalf of the Matt Townsend, Director of Highways and Transport took a decision to approve the order to improve the safe movement of pedestrians.		
Were any other options considered and, if so, what were they and why were they discounted?		
N/A		
Background Papers		
Appendices: 'A' – Notice of Proposal 'B' – Plans		

Declaration

	Yes	N/A
In taking this decision, I confirm that I have taken into consideration and kept a record of all relevant advice from the following services: [insert the services where you have received advice, for example legal, finance, people services, procurement, property, equality etc]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Where appropriate, consultation with the relevant Cabinet Member(s) has been completed.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Where appropriate and where the decision impacts on a particular locality, the relevant councillor(s) for the electoral division(s) have been consulted.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Decision taken on behalf of the:	Director of Highways and Transport	
Officer taking the decision:	Peter Bell	

